#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY, UTILITIES AND COMMUNICATIONS

REVIEW OF ISO TESTIMONY GIVEN TO
SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE PRICE
MANIPULATION OF THE WHOLESALE ENERGY MARKET

STATE CAPITOL

ROOM 3191

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 2003 4:15 P.M.

Reported by:

Evelyn J. Mizak Shorthand Reporter

## APPEARANCES

## MEMBERS PRESENT

SENATOR DEBRA BOWEN, Chair
SENATOR WILLIAM MORROW, Vice Chair
SENATOR JOSEPH DUNN

## STAFF PRESENT

LARRY DRIVON, Special Counsel to Committee
BOB PRATT, Legislative Counsel
RONDA PASCHAL, Consultant

#### ALSO PRESENT

MICHAEL KAHN, Chair, Board of Governors California Independent System Operator

MIKE FLORIO, Member, Board of Governors California Independent System Operator

# INDEX

		Page
Proceedings		. 1
Comments by S	ENATOR DUNN re:	
Backgr	ound	. 1
	ISO's Release of Interim Report	. 2
	Strumwasser Report	. 2
	ISO Board's Submission of Summary of Strumwasser Report	. 3
	Letters from Attorneys Representing ISO Employees	. 3
	Difficult Task Facing ISO Board in Light of Strumwasser Report	. 4
	Possibility that Several ISO Employees Provided False Testimony to Select Committee	. 4
Witness Testi	mony:	
MICHAEL KAHN, ISO Board of	Chair Governors	. 4
MICHAEL FLORI	O, Member Governors	. 4
Questi	ons by SENATOR DUNN re:	
	Position of Board on Confidentiality of Summary	. 5
Statem	ents by MR. KAHN re:	
	Goal to Protect Due Process Interests of People Involved	. 6
	Introduction of TIM HAINES	. 6

Questions by SENATOR DUNN re:	
From Summary, ISO's Suggestion that DWR Schedule a Fictitious Load	9
Any Information to Suggest Different Conclusion than One Mentioned in Strumwasser's Report	10
Statements by MR. KAHN re:	
Intention to Hire Another Lawyer	11
Intention to Separate Employee  Matters from Other Policy Issues	12
Questions by MR. DRIVON re:	
Circumstantial Evidence to Support Strumwasser's Conclusions	12
Questions by SENATOR DUNN re:	
Conclusion that ISO Staff Misrepresented Facts to Select Committee	15
Any Available Information to Suggest Strumwasser's Conclusions with Respect to 6.2 and 6.3 Were Incorrect	16
Statements by SENATOR DUNN re:	
Legislature Must Give ISO Latitude to Handle Process from Employment Perspective	19
Recommendation that Committee Let Local District Attorney Decide whether Perjury Occurred	19
Allow State Bar to Examine Behavior of Lawyers Involved	19
Statements by MR. KAHN re:	
Board Instructed Witnesses to Tell the Truth	20

Statements	by	MR.	DRIVON	re:

	Conclusion in Strumwasser Report Regarding Attitudes in ISO
	Prevailing Attitude at ISO that Select Committee Was Conducting a Witch Hunt
	Conclusions on Page 118 of Strumwasser Report
	ISO's Lack of Appreciation for Gravity of Situation
	Attitudinal and Institutional Problems
Respons	se by MR. KAHN re:
	Need for Real Witch Hunt
	Criticism Troubling
	Appropriateness of Legislative Oversight
Request	ts by MR. DRIVON re:
	Documents Cited in Strumwasser Report 27
	Support for Legislation to Make Public Benefit Corporations a Fiduciary of the Public
Stateme	ents by CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN re:
	Not Surprised by General Assertions in Strumwasser Report
	Need to Change Attitudes and Culture of Institutional Superiority 28
Respons	se by MR. KAHN re:
	Problem with Governance

Statement	ts by CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN re:
	overnance Problems not nique to ISO
Mι	uddy Lines of Accountability 30
Re	nterest in FERC's Reaction to eport and Willingness to Do ecessary Oversight
Statement	ts by MR. DRIVON re:
	ssurances from Top Executive Levels of SO regarding OOM Purchases
Co	roblem at ISO Is Total Disregard for ommittee, or Total Incompetence, or otal Cover-up
Statement	ts by SENATOR MORROW re:
Da	amning Report33
Questions	s of MR. FLORIO by SENATOR MORROW re:
	uture Process regarding Report that ommittee Can Expect from ISO
	mplication Made that Committee Would Be oprised of Results of Investigation 36
Responses	s by MR. KAHN re:
	o Knowledge of How or When Committee eceived Strumwasser Report
Pı	reparation of Delivery of Summary 37
Sı	ubcommittee Work for Final Report 38
Statement	ts by SENATOR DUNN re:
	ontact with BARRY GOODE that Committee ad Received Report
	OODE's Statement that He Would ontact ISO Board39

Re	reement that Committee Would ceive Strumwasser Report when mpleted	40
Ind	itial Request to ISO Was to Have dividuals Who Testified about ctitious Load Come before Committee	40
Questions	to MR. KAHN by MR. DRIVON re:	
	ll Committee Receive Next Version of rumwasser Report from ISO	41
	vestigation Methods Used by rumwasser on Page 3 of Report	43
	ggestion to Listen to Strumwasser's terview Tapes to Determine Privilege	44
Questions	to MR. KAHN by SENATOR MORROW re:	
	ngth of Time Needed for ISO to ach Conclusion	44
Statement	s by MR. FLORIO re:	
Воз	ard's Responsive to Committee	46
	O Cooperation with Committee in cational Marginal Pricing Issue	46
Statement	s by CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN re:	
	oblems at ISO Are Specific, Not neral to All Employees	47
	Clearance on Timing of nal Report	48
Statement	s by MR. DRIVON re:	
Of	fer to Assist Board	48
Termination of P	roceedings	49
Certificate of R	eporter	50

```
0001
 01
     P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
 02
      - - 00000- -
 03
                        SENATOR DUNN:
                                          Thank you, Madam Chair, for
      allowing us an opportunity to explore for the next few moments a
 04
      follow-up to testimony that was given before the Select
 05
      Committee back in February.
 06
                        And I know that Michael is here; Michael Kahn is
 07
      in the back. And I think Michael, by a letter, offered to appear and make comments on behalf of the ISO. If he wants to
 80
 09
      wander up as I'm giving prepared testimony, we can sort of short-circuit everything here.
 10
 11
                        Let me give a little bit of background to what
 13
      brings us to this issue today before the Energy Committee. Much
      of this is well known by those who followed the investigation
 14
      closely, but let me briefly touch upon the background.

Back on May 9th of '02, following revelations of
 15
 16
      market manipulation by Enron, the Select Committee propounded to
 17
      certain participants in the California wholesale power market a
 18
 19
      set of questions seeking each participant's knowledge of similar
 20
      practices by other participants.
 21
                        In response to that request, we received
     correspondence from DWR, who stated that on November 14th '01 the ISO had asked DWR's Scheduling Unit, which he calls SERS, to schedule, quote, "fictitious load," end quote, for the following
 22
 23
 24
 25
      weekend.
 26
                        That disclosure in the DWR correspondence in
 27
      response to the questions posed by the Select Committee then
 28
      started a flurry of other correspondence back and forth between
0002
 01
     ISO and the Committee, and DWR and the Committee, et cetera.
                        On May 30th, the ISO released an Interim Report
 02
      on this issue that was prepared by an outside law firm and
 03
 04
      presented to the Select Committee. And at that time, the ISO
      Board announced it had retained an independent investigator to
 05
 06
      determine whether any improper actions were committed in the course of the events surrounding what we call the fictitious
 07
 80
      load call.
 09
                        Another law firm was retained to conduct that
 10
      larger investigation, and they have now submitted a report in
 11
      June to the ISO Board. I'll refer to that report as the
     Strumwasser Report and the other report as the Interim Report.

The Select Committee and this Committee, and I know that the President Pro Tem received information that the
 12
 13
 14
      Strumwasser Report had been delivered to the ISO Board, and that
 15
 16
      prompted I know of at least two letters, my own and Senator
     Burton's, there may have been others, to the ISO Board requesting a copy of the Strumwasser Report.

The response that we have received over the past
 17
 18
 19
      few weeks and months has been that there needs to be additional
 20
 21
      work done with respect to that report; it was not final.
      Although, neither the report states that it is a draft nor does
 23
      the cover letter suggest it's a draft, but that, I understand,
 24
      is between the ISO Board and the Strumwasser firm.
                                                                     And that
      there were conclusions in that report that raised very, very serious issues, including due process issues, that the ISO Board
 25
 26
 27
      needed to handle.
 28
                        I think that's absolutely correct. I respect
0003
 01
     that.
 02
                        The last two months or so, month-and-a-half,
 03
      there's been an exchange of letters, ultimately our request for
      this particular hearing.
 04
```

Page 1

We secured a copy of that report, referring to

the Strumwasser Report. The ISO Board submitted last week a summary of the report to us. And we did request that certain 80 employees that had previously testified before the Select 09 Committee under oath appear here today.

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

19

20

21

22

23 24 25

26

27 28 0004

> 01 02

03

06 07 08

09

16

17

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

0005 01

02

03 04 05

06 07 80

10 11

We were advised by the ISO Board that they initially were not aware of the report, be it draft or otherwise; that they needed to be advised and advised of their legal rights.

Since that time, I have received two letters from two different law firms stating that they represent certain of the individuals named in the report, that they were just notified of it, and they needed time to advise their clients and to make decisions before they could respond to our request that they come here and testify.

I don't have any dispute with those letters from the two outside counsel purporting to represent a number of ISO employees who were addressed in the Strumwasser Report. And I certainly will, from my perspective, believe that those lawyers need whatever time they believe is necessary to fully advise their clients.

I also know that ISO still needs to make decisions about where to go from here. As I mentioned, we've been advised by both the ISO Board and directly by

Mr. Strumwasser that the report is not final. I have no idea how it may change or if it may change, but certainly the information contained in that report is damning in many different respects. And some difficult decisions, I'm sure, will have to be made, including in a review of the recommendations that Mr. Strumwasser gave, some of them need to be handled very, very carefully. So, although I suspect there's some doubt by some members of the ISO, I respect the difficult task that the ISO has ahead of it.

But we as the Legislature also have a difficult task as well, because if we accept the conclusions in this report, draft or otherwise, then potentially up to six, maybe seven, including two lawyers, provided false testimony to the Select Committee. And most of those were under oath at the time they provided false testimony.

That we consider to be an extraordinarily serious allegation and one, at least from this person's perspective, we believe we need to deal with, in addition to whatever the ISO Board has to do with respect to addressing the Strumwasser Report whenever it be made final with respect to the operation That's the ISO's business. of the ISO.

But if in fact false testimony was given before the Select Committee, it's something that the Legislature needs to, in my view, respond to.

Michael, I appreciate you coming today at last moment. I know it's not your favorite thing to do, obviously. Let me just ask some procedural questions.

The ISO did submit from your office the summary.

It doesn't mention anywhere in your cover letter about it being confidential. I know that none of the individual employees that

were named in Strumwasser were named in the report.

So, my first question to you is, what is your position as to your summary? Is it something that can be made publicly available from your perspective.

MR. KAHN: Thank you, Senator.

I don't know the answer to that, to tell you the truth. When we prepared the summary, we did it with the intention of trying to let the Senate understand what was going

09-03-03. TXT So I guess my answer is that we intended it -- no, I would -- I'll give you an answer in writing the first 12 13 14 thing tomorrow morning. 15 SENATOR DUNN: That'll be fine. 16 MR. KAHN: I apologize, but I don't know the 17 answer. 18 SENATOR DUNN: You don't need to. We're not trying to unnecessarily cause grievance to anyone, but obviously there is a lot of interest in the issue within the Legislature. 19 20 If the summary can be made readily available in the Legislature, 21 it would certainly be helpful. 22 23 I have --24 MR. KAHN: Excuse me. 25 I know it was prepared with the intention it be 26 shared in the Legislature. Was -- the intention was that you share it with whatever legislative colleagues that you thought appropriate, and that was without -- the purpose of everything 27 28 0006 01 in my view -- everything you said in the introduction was 02 correct and accurate. And I appreciate that. 03 And the idea was to have something that you could 04 share with Senator Bowen, Senator Morrow, Senator Burton, any other colleague. So, I know that's true.

But I will -- as to the remainder of its nature 05 06 07 of confidentiality SENATOR DUNN: And specifically, Michael, because 09 there is one name mentioned in your summary. Ri ght. 10 MR. KAHN: SENATOR DUNN: And I just want to make sure that that "t" is the crossed and that "i" is dotted.

MR. KAHN: Right. I appreciate that. SENATOR DUNN: 11 12 13 If I could make a couple comments. I would like, 14 on behalf of the ISO, to thank you for letting me come here and 15 16 I have a couple of observations. talk to you. 17 I think that the work you're doing, and the 18 inquiry you're making, is really important and completely, enti rely legi ti mate. 19 20 I think that your being concerned about whether 21 somebody testified falsely to you is your duty to investigate 22 that. I think it would be improper in any way, shape or form 23 for us to interfere with that. 24 My goal in my management of this, which I will 25 take responsibility for if there are mistakes, was solely and is exclusively designed to try to protect the due process interests 26 of the people involved. That's the way I've guided this. 27 28 And I want to make absolutely clear that we 0007 completely feel that what you're doing is legitimate, and your 01 following through and pursuing this is legitimate.
We also believe we have overlapping 02 03 04

responsibilities. And if you would decide, for example, that based to whatever standard, whether it's a criminal standard or clear and convincing evidence, that you don't have proof of perjury, but we think our people lied to you, we believe we have the responsibility to deal with that.

And the fact that you may or may not have a situation in which it is within your purview to deal with it, we

05

12

13

14

15

16

still have a responsibility.

It is very disturbing to think that our people came and said to you things that weren't true. And we do intend to get to bottom of it as best we can.

So actually, I don't have much else to say except to tell you that in my judgment, especially given what you said, we have no cause to complain about your conduct at all, and we

don't want to interfere with it. 18 And I personally appreciate 19 the courtesies that you've shown. When we were walking over here, a colleague said 20 to me, "You know, we have a lot to learn from this experience. 21 Some of it's going to be painful, you're right, but we're going 22 to have to adopt that attitude. And I'm sure that you'll be looking over our 24 25 shoulder. And, you know, if it feels like we're being grouchy, 26 that's not a manifestation of top management's view. 27 And I do want to introduce you to Tim Haines, who's in the back of the room, who has just come aboard, working 28 0008 01 exclusively for me. And I'm trying best I can to fulfill the obligations that I have to you, the Senate, Senator Burton. 02 03 Thank you very much. CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: May I make a further request, 04 that you identify Kim Haines, since --MR. KAHN: Yes, he's back there. 05 06 CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: All right. 07 That was my first 08 part, was he or she. 09 MR. KAHN: It was he, Tim. I'm sorry. CHAI RWOMAN BOWEN: 10 Tim. That narrows the field. 11 SENATOR DUNN: Just curiosity, but what's Tim's 12 rol e? Tim's role is to be sure that I have a 13 MR. KAHN: full-time person to independently determine what's important, 14 and what's going on, and what's happening at the ISO. So that 15 the concern that the Board does not have sufficient resources or 16 staff, as expressed by the State Senate, has been taken sufficiently seriously that we adopted an idea that one of you broached in one of our discussions, which was that I have an 17 18 19 independent person working full time with total access to 20 21 everything going on who reports to me. 22 Whoever's idea it was, we did it, and we intend to do it. And I'm sure Mr. Haines will be, from time to time, talking to you or staff and other folks. 23 24 25 SENATOR DUNN: Michael, there's a few questions I want to pose, and I will do it in a way that I don't believe 26 27 will compromise the internal due process concerns that I think 28 are legitimately present in light of the Strumwasser Report. 0009 01 So, I'm going to operate actually off of your summary. MR. KAHN: 02 Fi ne. SENATOR DUNN: Which, for those who are staying 03 tuned, is a summary of major points of the Strumwasser Report. 04 On Page 8, and I'll read it as well, too. MR. KAHN: Is it okay if Mr. Florio joins us? 05 06 07 SENATOR DUNN: Going to Page 8, at the very bottom, your summary poses the question, as did the Strumwasser Report, "Did ISO suggest that DWR schedule a 'Fictitious Load'?" 80 09 Quote, end quote. 10 And the Strumwasser Report, as you noted there, 11 answers that with a "Yes," that they did. MR. KAHN: Yes, sir. 12 13 SENATOR DUNN: And on Page 9, and whomever 14 drafted this part of it, I thought, did a pretty good job, 15 summarizes the bases upon which Strumwasser concluded that in 16 fact the phrase "fictitious load" was used.

I know at top of Page 10, "Is the Scheduling of Fictitious Load Illegal?" The answer was, "Not necessarily, and 17 18 19 20 specifically not in this case. In the interest of full disclosure, 22 Mr. Strumwasser criticized me for my comments at that time as

23

well, too.

```
09-03-03. TXT
                                    We didn't mention that in the summary.
                        MR. KAHN:
 25
                                        I noticed that.
                        SENATOR DUNN:
                        CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: He didn't fail to notice it.
 26
                        SENATOR DUNN: But it speaks volumes for
 27
 28
     Mr. Strumwasser, that he looked at it the way he saw it, and we
0010
 01
      appreciate it.
 02
                        The first question that I have, Michael, as to
     that question of did ISO suggest that DWR schedule fictitious load, answer "Yes," as you sit here today, do you have any information to suggest a different conclusion than that reached
 03
 04
 05
      by Mr. Strumwasser on that question?
 06
 07
                       MR. KAHN: Well, of course, the people who were
 80
      asked said no.
                                         Other than -- you are correct.
 09
                        SENATOR DUNN:
 10
                        MR. KAHN: And I wasn't there. So, I just don't
 11
      know.
                        But I -- it -- you know, I don't know whether
 12
 13
```

it's a burden or a curse. I sometimes wonder why I wasn't recalled.

In my other hat in this lawyer world, I very often get he said/she said. In this case, it's the judge's. CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: Why isn't it ever he said/he sai d?

MR. KAHN: Because it's always only interesting if both are involved.

And those are very tough things.

I thought Mr. Strumwasser was really analytical. And whether that would meet a burden of proof, I don't know. But, you know, that s -- the truth is that the circumstances he said were set forth, and the other -- the people said they didn't do it.

SENATOR DUNN: So, other than what the ISO employees actually testified to at our hearing, which was, no,

they didn't say it, there's no other information available? MR. KAHN: There is the -- you know, there's the negative evidence of the fact there's no document -- there's no documentary evidence that they did it. And they were pretty adamant about it, and they did not respond to the e-mails that asked them to confirm.

Now, I don't know why they didn't respond to the It could be because they did it, and they didn't want to acknowledge they did it. It could be because they didn't do

But I have no independent knowledge that hasn't been shared with you. You know more about this than I do because you've read it more recently, and you've examined it more thoroughly

But I will tell you in the spirit of full disclosure and honesty here, the accusations are very And I'm going to try -- you know, you have a I don't have one. And it's a big problem for me. not sure how I'm supposed to do this.

And we're going to hire a lawyer. We -- I do know if this is in the record, but what my first reaction to We -- I don't what to do with this report was we hired Supreme Court Justice Grodin and said, what do we do with this report? And we, you know, we then listened to him lecture to us for three hours, trying to figure out what to do.

You know, maybe it's good news we're in uncharted waters. But we're in uncharted waters. I don't know what I'm supposed to do and how to do this.

27 28 0012

14

15

16 17 18

19

21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28

0011

05 06

80

09 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

```
What I think I'm going to do, which will be different the way you do it, I think what I'm going to do is
 02
 03
     treat it as an employment matter because there are employees,
 04
     and try and be loyal to the human resources laws and manuals,
     and use that analytical structure.
 05
                      That's what my -- we're going to hire another
 06
 07
     lawyer because Justice Grodin was substantive not procedural and
     he doesn't have the time. And we're going to hire another lawyer to be sure procedurally we do things right. Obviously, we're not going to use anybody internally.

And I think analytically what we're going to do
 80
 09
 10
 11
     is separate employee matters from all the other policy issues,
 12
     which have to be addressed also, but I have more latitude on.
 13
 14
                       So, and 100,000 years ago I used to do a lot of
     employment work on the employer's side. And I remembered then
 15
     that when there was he said/he said disputes, that we had a lot
 16
     of trouble persuading arbitrators.

But I'm not an employment lawyer any more,
 17
 18
 19
     haven't been for many years. I do know from my prior state
 20
     experience that I have obligations to these people who work for
 21
     us, and I'm going to try to handle them well, but with the
 22
     understanding, this is very serious. We agree with you
 23
     completely.
 24
                      MR. DRIVON: You do recognize that there is
     certain circumstantial evidence looked at by Mr. Strumwasser in
 25
     support of his conclusions with respect to what was said to us
 27
     here?
 28
                      MR. KAHN: Of course. And there is --
0013
                       MR. DRI VON:
                                    It's not in a strict sense
 01
 02
     a "they said/they said" situation.
                      MR. KAHN: It is not a clear "he said/he said"
 03
 04
     situation on either side because there is in fact -- there are
 05
     the e-mails, and there were actions that occurred; people did
 06
     things.
     But the rocus of the following these words, "which -- MR. DRIVON: That's not exactly 100 percent right
 07
 80
 09
 10
                      MR. KAHN: Now you have me at a disadvantage. MR. DRIVON: We'll be happy to give you a copy of
 11
 12
 13
     your report.
                       On Page 109 of the report, recognizing that the
 14
     Senator had asked the specific question in a very precise way
 15
     that you just mentioned, I then addressed the witnesses myself
 16
 17
     and said,
                              "The Senator asked a very
 18
 19
                             specific question, whether very
 20
                             specific words were used.
 21
                       I went on to expand that to eliminate the
 22
     possibility that someone was being hyper technical with respect
 23
     to their response.
 24
                       So, not only did they say that they had not used
     those words, they indicated by the shaking of their heads, as
 25
     noted in the record, that they had not done anything to convey
 26
 27
     that impression as well.
 28
                      So, it's a little bit more broad than, well,
0014
     maybe they didn't use the words "fictitious load;" maybe they
 02
     used the word "false load, or" something.
 03
                      MR. KAHN: Let me make something clear.
     We got this report. And Mr. Strumwasser was working on it. We were intending to get it done. We were
 04
 05
```

intending to give it to the people in question, as

09-03-03. TXT 07 Mr. Strumwasser had told us that that's what he anticipated we 80 would do, and we were intending to let them tell us what their 09 side of the story is. That's what we're going to do.
I'm not even -- I'm not asking you to wait for 10 11 12 You ought to do what you need to do. us, for goodness sake. I -- Mr. Strumwasser was hired by us. 13 us, and you correct me if I'm wrong, that he anticipated when we 14 were finished, we would give this to all the people concerned, 15 give them the opportunity to speak about what they thought, give him an opportunity to tell us -- I'm sorry, you're Mr. Drivon, 16 17 18 si r? 19 MR. DRIVON: I am, sir.  $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  KAHN: Give Mr. Strumwasser an opportunity to make observations like Mr. Drivon, and we would have a process. 20 21 22

That's what was anticipated.

We haven't done any of those things, and we do We -- I'm going to try to keep an open mind, intend to do that. but that's where we are.

SENATOR DUNN: Okay.

A few more questions, Michael. Going back to your summary again, Page 13, Question 6.2:

> "Were the Means by Which ISO Management Responded to the Select Committee Appropriate?"

And the answer is, "No," as provided by the Strumwasser Report in its reference in your summary, Michael.

Again, being cautious about protecting those due process concerns, some of the conclusions there talk about how, just to share it here,

"We conclude,"

This is from Strumwasser,

"that in the preparation and presentation of the Interim Report, in its staff testimony before the Select Committee and i n, "

a certain person's

23

24

25

26

27

28

0015

01

02

03

04

05 06

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

23

24

25

27

28

04

05

06 07 80

09

10 11

"subsequent communication with the committee, ISO misrepresented the facts, proffered misleading claims in defense of its rendition of the facts, and advanced legal arguments that were unsupportable and not made in good faith."

I'm on Page 13 here, but then I'm It goes on. reading from a letter I had sent to Michael which has a quote from the Strumwasser Report.

Then it goes on to 6.3,
"Were ISO's Post-Interim Report

0016 01 Communications with the Select 02 Committee Accurate and 03 Appropri ate?

No. " "Answer:

He sites several things, including, of course, the testimony about the November 14th call that we've already talked about; the issue of 00M transactions in '02, which, as you know, the individuals implicated there go high within ISO. And then third, at the bottom of the paragraph on

Page 13, a particular individual who sent us a follow-up letter which Mr. Strumwasser found to be incorrect, and another legal counsel who, on page 112 of the Strumwasser Report, concludes

13 that the positions taken by that individual were simply indefensible and, in fact, were labeled disingenuous and not a good faith interpretation of the FERC order, and materially 15 misled the Select Committee. 16

Same question, Michael, I had before. those that I covered with respect to 6.2 and 6.3, do you have any information available to you at this time that suggests that Mr. Strumwasser's conclusions in that regard are incorrect?

MR. KAHN: Well, let me say two things.

First, this is what we asked Mr. Strumwasser to do, and he did it. And we -- we represented to you in a letter that we were going to have our people do an investigation, and they were going to have an independent person double-check it. And this was what we asked Mr. Strumwasser to do.

And he came to very troubling conclusions. And we are going to get to the bottom of this from our perspective.

To the extent that our people -- we were hit with this letter from you, the Board. Didn't know anything about Our reaction was, we'd better do an independent investigation, but in the meantime, we'd better do something very quick because if there's some evil going on here, we ought to stop it immediately; we can't afford to wait. We didn't realize it would take this long. We can't afford to wait.

If our people in doing that misrepresented to

you, that's a terrible thing. We owe you an apology, and you'll probably get one.

17

18 19

20

21

22 **23** 

24

27

0017

01 02 03

10

11

12

13 14

15 16 17

23

24

25 26 27

28

0018

01

02 03

04 05

07

80

10 11

12 13

14 15

17

28

In addition, the people ought to be severely dealt with, and their outside advisors have problems.

On the other hand, their outside advisors are people who, I think, are friends of yours, too. I mean, they are very credible, very responsible people. And I would like to hear their side of the story.

Mr. Robinson I know to be an extremely fine man and a really fine lawyer. And he had -- there was nothing in it for him to lie to you and have a process that was dishonest. But maybe I'm missing something.

So, Mr. Strumwasser has done what we asked him to

If Mr. Strumwasser is right in any part of this, that's -we have profound obligations to both apologize to you and to rectify it.

But we owe it at least to hear from Mr. Robinson and the law firm involved. As of this minute, I don't think they've even seen this, seen the summary or seen the report.

So, in answer to your question, I know based on

three years of working with this gentleman, Mr. Robinson, that this is behavior that doesn't sound consistent with what I've seen, but maybe I'm wrong. I know from working with his law firm that this is inconsistent with what I've seen, but maybe I'm wrong.

And I think that we have to let them express And that shouldn't be the end of it. We need to their view. hear what Mr. Strumwasser has to say about it. He's a very intelligent man, and he understands what he said here.

So, the only thing I can say is, it would really be inappropriate for me to say anything more about this. I am really, really troubled by this. But this -- I don't like this at all. I hate it.

But you know what? It does prove what we set up worked. What we didn't do was do an Interim Report and then leave it alone and let our people lie. We did an Interim Report, and then we did a more comprehensive one, and we subjected it to scrutiny.

And we never -- in all our discussions with Justice Grodin and everything we've done, and Michael sitting next to me, we always intended to share with you this unfortunate aspect of this. And then share with you what we learn, and share with you what we found out.

But in of sort a funny kind of way, thanks to you and your pressure, the process works. And if people have to be dealt with, they will be. And if we have to change things, we will do that.

SENATOR DUNN: I suspect Mr. Drivon and, I'm

 $\begin{array}{c}
 28 \\
 0019 \\
 01 \\
 02
 \end{array}$ 

sure, the Chair has questions, maybe Senator Morrow.

Michael, let me tell you where I'm coming from and why I posed the questions to you. I wasn't trying to throw any curve balls at you.

I absolutely respect, as I mentioned before, the difficult process you have internally, handling it not only from a policy perspective internally, but from an employment perspective, and recognizing there are certain rules and protocols and regulations that you have to follow to the "t" whenever the Board reaches final decisions on reports and what needs to be done about them.

At least from my perspective, speaking only for me, we have to give you the latitude to handle that stuff internally from an employment perspective.

I asked you the questions because I'm seeking really whether there is anything out there on the issue of potential misrepresentations made to the Committee, many of which were under oath by, if I count them, maybe six, maybe seven individuals. That, as I mentioned before, is something we have to deal with.

My own recommendations that I would make to the others that were involved in that prior hearing, two of them being here -- Senator Bowen and Senator Morrow -- is that we package it up and let the local district attorney decide whether there was perjury that occurred. And as to the two lawyers involved, let the State Bar examine it to determine whether any action is necessary to take.

But I welcome input from everybody that's

 involved in this, both Committee Members, certainly Leg. Counsel, obviously from the ISO Board representatives and management to handle that very specific item, among the many that are in the report, but that brings us here today, which are the possible misrepresentations that were made.

MR. KAHN: Let me make your job just maybe a hair easier. And again, Mr. Florio, you know what I have to work with. You have 50 percent of our Board sitting here.

with. You have 50 percent of our Board sitting here.

CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: As long as it's not a quorum.

MR. KAHN: I didn't think of that.

We instructed them to tell you the truth. We even instructed them to figure it out and tell you the truth. That was what they were supposed to do.

We didn't like the allegations when they happened. And, you know, we're we going to have to try to figure out whether they breached it, and we have the troubling things from Mr. Strumwasser.

But there's no ambiguity here. The basic, fundamental question of whether there was something wrong that was going on, and our employees were doing something wrong, which you raised, was very urgent to us. Ironically, they concluded that in that regard, they weren't doing something wrong, and Strumwasser seems to have agreed. But that, we were urgently interested in that.

So in this, you'll find all four Board members will say the same thing: We told them to tell you the truth. We told them to fully disclose.

SEŇATOR DUNN: Mr. Drivon.

 $\begin{array}{c} 28\\0021\\01\end{array}$ 

MR. DRIVON: You know, what is really, I think, more disturbing to me, as Special Counsel to the Committee, than anything else is the confirmation by the Strumwasser Report of what we suspected as we were conducting this investigation with respect to the attitudes of the ISO, of people, and our investigation.

It was certainly my decision to work for two-and-a-half years for free, but it was not my expectation that my job would be made harder by people that were working in the public interest and for the public benefit. Although, it was clear to me many times during the investigation, and I shared with Senator Morrow and Senator Dunn and others my feelings.

And I think that this is really not about

November the 14th. And it isn't really, in the bottom analysis,
even about the testimony that was given to the Committee.

From my perspective, and I think you and I.

From my perspective, and I think you and I, Mr. Kahn and Mr. Florio would agree, that the role of the ISO is critical to both the quality of life of Californians and to the economy of California, and that it is, in truth, a public benefit corporation.

I know, based on what I was told during the investigation, off the record, in phone calls and in person, that the prevailing attitude at, I'm sure, below the Board level at the ISO was that this Select Committee was conducting a witch hunt for the purpose of providing free press coverage for Senator Dunn, and that much of the intercourse between the ISO and our Committee reflected that kind of an attitude.

But there seems to be a disconnect between what was happening on the ground and what was happening in the concept that you folks had with respect to how things should be done.

And I think that the two paragraphs on Page 118

of the Report,

"We have found, "

this is Mr. Strumwasser,

"that in the course of a wholly appropriate effort to secure power needed to maintain grid reliability, ISO's talented and dedicated staff strayed from the strictures of the ISO Tariff, misrepresented market conditions to a market participant, provided non-public market information to three market participants, falsely denied that they or a colleague had made an innocuous statement, and eventually repeated that denial under oath. In the course of doing so, ISO has been drawn into conflict with both legislative and executive branches of state government,

has violated its tariff, and has

 $\begin{array}{c} 28\\0023\\01\end{array}$ 

tarnished the corporation's reputation with public officials and market participants, some of whom already did not hold the ISO in high regard.
"We do not believe

02

03 04

05

06 07 08

09

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28

0024

06

07 80

09 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

01

02

03

04 05

06

these were simply the misdeeds of errant mid-level employees. Our investigation has persuaded us that the events we have investigated were the easy consequences of a culture that does not respect institutions with which the ISO must work, is not committed to the rules under which the ISO must operate, and does not elicit the highest ethnical conduct from those who represent the corporation. These events also indicate poor coordination of decisionmaking across organizational units and insufficient quality control in the General Counsel's Office."

That is what is probably more disturbing to me as a conclusion than what personnel actions should be taken with respect to somebody.

I think what happened was, not enough thought was given to what the response was going to be to the Committee; that an expedient way was chosen, not by what you were instructing done, but by what was actually being done; and that the gravity of the situation was not appreciated.

And then things got said, and then it became a question of, "How do we protect what we've already said," and it just got worse.

And then when other attorneys got involved in it, you know, they didn't appreciate the gravity of the situation ei ther.

And those are the things that bother me. Report talks about and gives a lot of examples of ISO's low regard for everybody else that's involved in the process. That's not constructive, and it doesn't have, in my mind, anything to do with due process and employees' rights. That goes right straight into your lap, to straighten out those kinds of attitudinal and institutional problems.

I mean, I guess I'm not even trying to ask a

I'm just sort of making a statement that's based on questi on. two years of frustration.

MR. KAHN: Well, first of all, you're entirely correct. It is in my lap; it's my responsibility. And it's disturbing, and we have to pursue it.

And we have to figure out what the genesis of it is, and what's correct and what's not correct.

I disagree with you in one regard. I think, Senator Dunn, it's not a witch hunt. I think there are rea 28 I think there are real 0025

I think there are really bad people here. I think witches. Senator Dunn has already ferreted a bunch of them out, and I think there are more.

And I think that, you know, we still don't have our \$45 billion dollars back. We're still all victims of this atrocity that has happened here. And I think that any place Senator Dunn wants to look for those witches, I'm behind him

And I don't quarrel with him doing 08 because there is bad doing. 09 this.

Second of all, the I heard your criticism. very troubling, and it's something I have to pursue.

On the other hand, to be very honest with you,

I've heard the same said of the PUC. I've heard the same said And to be perfectly honest with you, I've heard the same said of the Legislature in this morning's newspaper.

Now, Mr. Strumwasser may be entirely correct.

is deeply troubling, what he said.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23 24 **25** 

27 28

0026

01

02

03

05

06 07

80 09

10 11

16

17

18 19

24

25 26

27 28 0027

01

02

07

08

09

On the other hand, we have to be careful because it is his opinion, based on his work by himself, from his experience vantage point. He may be entirely correct, and we're going to follow it up.

On the other hand, just to take the comment about the General Counsel's Office, we do have the situation of scrutinizing and seeing a lot of work the General Counsel does and hear him a lot. And what Mr. Strumwasser concluded was And we need to figure out what's going on. surpri si ng.

Let me say one other thing. What you said is really critically important, respectfully, even if you're not

It's that you think that, that somehow we behaved so ri ght. badly in our two years dealing with you that we caused those kinds of reactions.

Again, I had the same reaction, that that's just And we're going to have to -- you know, this is --I'm sure, Mr. Drivon, you spent more time than me, but not that much more. And I can see the way my next number of months is going to play out. We're going to have to follow up on every one of these things.

I believe this is a public corporation responsible to the public, and that any oversight the Senate wants to give is appropriate, and any questions you have about this are appropriate, whether I'm sitting here or not.

And we're going to have work through these things, one by one, each of them, to resolve it, because the

test is not whether we're doing it proper for corporate The test is whether we're serving the public. governance.

And I learned all this from Senator Bowen when she was explaining to me what EOB meant, and what the ISO was.

We had an orientation problem, and we've got to fix it.

So, I'm sure this isn't the last we'll be hearing from you gentlemen and ladies about this.

MR. DRIVON: I have a couple of requests of you

in light of your proffered cooperation. First of all, in reading through this document, I have to say, I have not seen this many footnotes since I read Ford Motor Company's last Supreme Court brief.

And these footnotes cite a lot of documents in

them that we don't have. And unless there was something incomplete about the requests that we made, which I don't think

there was, we should have gotten many of these documents.

So, I will ask our staff to particularize this request in terms of the documents that we see in here referenced and send it to you.

MR. KAHN: Send it to me. I want it to go to me,

and I will deal with it personally.

MR. DRI VON: And the other question is, would you be prepared to support legislation that would make a public benefit corporation a fiduciary of the public?

MR. KAHN: To be honest with you, I don't know

what that means.

Let me tell you, we believe we're spending the public's money, and we believe we have an obligation to -- well, we have an obligation first and foremost to follow the laws that we are given.

Unfortunately, as you know and as the Senators know, the laws have told us to do two different things when it comes to governance, and so we have a little bit of problem in who our master is.

Beyond that, we have an obligation to the public to serve the public. And if that can be expanded or reinforced in some fashion, I'd be certainly happy to look at it.

MR. DRIVON: It's not a fair question.

MR. KAHN: That's okay. You're asking the I didn't read anywhere that said you had to be fair. questi ons. CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: If I might, I spent some time

with this report in the last couple of days.

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

27

28

0028

01

02

03

04 05

06 07 80

09 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20 21

22 23

24

25

01

80 09

10

11 12 13

14

15 16

18

Probably the most difficult part of it is that while I was surprised by the particulars in many instances, largely I was not surprised by the more general assertions. think it was -- the sense that the institution of the ISO is somehow superior to all other government or private sector bodies permeated a lot of its dealings with the Select Committee, with the Senate Committee, and I know don't reflect the changed ISO Board.

So, I think our difficulty as we look at this is less with regard to the particulars of the incidents than it is with what's always the most difficult part of change, which is

changing human attitudes and changing the culture.

I know I've had the unfortunate experience of representing a couple of cities in which there's been recently a fair number of resignations and criminal prosecutions for political corruption. It seems to follow certain industries, most notably trash for reasons that I can't explain.

But, you know, in those cultures there's like a culture of personal entitlement. And that certainly isn't the case here, but there is sort of a culture of institutional superiority that I think has come across.

And the challenge for all of us as we look at the structure of electricity for the future is how we work in a way that lessens that, and then what we do, what the check is, if that's what we're seeing, and how we deal with it in a way that doesn't require two years to go by, and a 100-and-some page report to really put what we already knew in our faces in a much

more profound kind of way.

MR. KAHN: You know, Senator, you're right. And let me just tell you the biggest problem that we have, and that's this governance problem. Whatever we want to do, there will be some people, and I don't say everybody or most, but there'll be some people who'll say, "Yeah, but you'll be gone pretty soon." And they're not talking about, you know, rotating they're talking about nuking us all, and the next day us out. They're talking about nuking us all, and the next day getting a whole new board.

And the old proposal was selected by the management. So, you know, we've been in a transition. I thought I was going to be the head of the ISO for 48 hours, and, you know, it's almost 48 months.

But I have a big problem in addressing culture in that regard. We -- you know, and I have to say, no disrespect to the world of what's going on, but when I tried to begin to address it, I had to wait until THE election was over, and then, all of a sudden, I had a new election. And now I've got to wait until the new election's over.

But I'm -- as soon as the new election's over, and we finally figure out who our government is, we need to straighten this out. We need to either, you know, abolish the ISO and create a new structure that you have absolute control over, or we need to have our Senators and Congress people go to Congress and make Pat Wood do what we need. Because until we are in charge really, and not just until some court throws us out, what you are interested in, what Senator Dunn has told me very articulately and forcefully about it, think about it from

my perspective. It's very, very hard. People think to themselves, well, I'm just one injunction away.

20

21

23 24

25

26 27 28

0030

01 02

04

05

06 07 80

09

10

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20 21

22

28 0031 01

02

03 04 05

06

07 80

09

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

So, I just say to you, I'm going to come back to you. I haven't quit on this. I've worked really hard, and you people, your offices have been very helpful in participating.

But we don't have command and control here. CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: This is not a problem that is unique to the ISO, if it makes you feel any better. This is an issue -- this was a huge issue with the California Lottery some years ago, because there's no budgetary control of the California Lottery by the Legislature. It was set up that way by the initiative that created it. It was intended to make it run more like a business by isolating the budget from legislative oversight and control.

The result was, you know, all sorts of contracting nightmares, and administrative expenses out of control. And there was nobody who had the power to say, "You just can't do that any more.

So, I don't want you to feel like you're in that seat alone, but it is a difficult situation. I agree. You have identified the biggest impediment to dealing with this, which is the lines of accountability are muddy.

MR. KAHN: And, you know, we have on a number of occasions, not enough for you folks, and I understand that, but on a number of occasions we have just said to FERC, "No." And they've told us that they're the bosses, not the least of which is in the governance thing.

So, I hear you, and maybe I'm not very good at --

CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: It'll be interesting to see what FERC makes of this report when it's finalized, or how they view whether or not that contributes, whether or not they're willing to do the kind of oversight that's necessary. I suggest that they're not.

MR. KAHN: Well, I think --

Well, I think --

CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: They're barely equipped to deal with market issues much less --

MR. KAHN: This is a different issue, but I think that the cultural  $-\cdot$  how to say this tactfully  $-\cdot$  one might ask the administrators of the federal and state courts how they view each other, how they're run. And one might hear the same attitude from a state court person talking to federal court person, that the other thinks they're superior and knows what they're doing, and whatever.

I think there is a view at FERC -- well, first of all, this is no secret, we all know. We were declared incompetent idiots. That was the reason for the California electricity crisis. We screwed it all up, and we were stupid, and we didn't build enough electricity, and we didn't do anything right, and we were nincompoops.

But guess who knew what they were doing? The one agency that was set up by FERC and run by FERC.
So, I don't think -- I'm not sure that

Mr. Drivon's comments will be received by FERC as an insult.

09-03-03. TXT We have a problem, and we need all of us to 27 address it. 28 MR. DRIVON: But, you know, I'm going to get just 0032 01 a little bit specific for just a minute. You've got, I mean, and there just isn't any question about this. There came a very large political football 02 03 having to do with out-of-market purchases. And I don't want to get into a technical acronym-filled discussion here, because I 04 05 06 don't think it has much to do with anything. But we received in writing assurances from the 07 top levels of ISO, the top executive levels of ISO that there 80 were no requests of DWR to make 00M purchases during the year 09 10 And that stood as the state of the evidence until this 11 Committee turned up tape recordings that were absolutely in opposite to that. And that's discussed in the report, in 12 Strumwasser's Report as well. 13 But, I mean, I have an absolutely clear memory of 14 that, because we were trying to figure out, you know, the dominoes are spread out here. How can this be happening? 15 16 What's working? We'd better find out whether or not these requests for 00M purchases are being made. 17 18 19 This is back when we thought that the details 20 were important. And, you know, so we asked. It seemed like the 21 22 reasonable thing to do. And we got not one, but two written 23 responses from the two people at ISO, one of whom has the 24 ultimate responsibility, and the other one was the person who 25 was in charge of that area. And both of them said, "Absolutely 26 27 And then, with our unlimited resources as a Committee, you know, we dig up these tape recordings. 28 0033 01 And that's -- that's just an example. 02 MR. KAHN: That's not cultural. That's just 03 unacceptable. MR. DRIVON: And that's what I'm saying. 04 05 not just a cultural, you know, we've-got-problems-withgovernance situation. I mean, this is either a total disregard 06 for this Committee and for what it was asking, or total incompetence, or a total cover-up, one of the three. There's no other way around it. It's got to be one of those three.

I have my opinions, which I won't express because 07 80 09 10 11 I have before. 12 CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: I think we obviously have more work to do on this issue, and Mr. Morrow, obviously, gets a bite 13 of the apple if he wants one. 14 15 SENATOR MORROW: I don't want to miss the 16 opportunity. 17 It's not like I delight in taking part in a public flogging. 18 But Mr. Kahn, you characterized this report 19 20 yourself as being very disturbing. I think that's really 21 putting it lightly. It's damn damning. And just so there's no mistake as far as my position in this, I wholeheartedly embrace the comments made by Senators Dunn, Bowen and Mr. Drivon in every respect. 22 23 24 25 Aside from all those concerns that I think have 26 been adequately expressed, I have somewhat of another concern. I mean, at first blush when I saw the report by the 27 28 investigator, Mr. Strumwasser here, at least my knee-jerk 0034

Page 15

reaction: very thorough. I've seen a lot of investigations and

02 reports in my life. Very detailed, footnoted. At least at

first blush, I can't find anything to criticize it in terms of substance.

It wasn't until today, and maybe you can clarify if for me, because I'm not sure what the process is. I haven't had a chance to read your summary yet, which I only received today. So, I'm somewhat at a disadvantage.

But apparently we received a letter from Mr. Strumwasser indicating that he is still in the process of revising the draft that had been earlier provided to the Board

of Governors, presumably meaning this report.

Tell me what the process is? I mean, I got to tell you that when I first looked at this thing, which was submitted, it's dated June 3rd, 2003, which was three months ago, and I have read this. Maybe you can show me if I missed it somewhere, where it identified itself as a preliminary draft or anything else. This seems to be fully complete. It's got very specific recommendations in the end.

Before I go on, how do you respond to that? This is not the final draft or what? What can we expect?

MR. FLORIO: Well, the Board, as you might

expect, had a lot concerns but also questions about things in the report that were conveyed to Mr. Strumwasser, and he is doing some further investigation on some of the points, and is, to some degree, revising or expanding upon some of the aspects of it. So, the work is ongoing.

And hopefully it will be completed very soon, but

> 03 04

> 05

06 07

80 09

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

26

03

04

05 06 07

08

09

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17 18

19

20 21 22

23 24 25

26

27

we have seen -- and I'm sure I bear a fair share of responsibility for this. It has taken a long time. And, you know, he has other projects that distract him from time to time. And we haven't been able to do this as timely, certainly, as I would have liked.

SENATOR MORROW: Maybe if you can just tease me with a little bit of what to expect?

I mean, again, reading this thing, it seems so It covered all the points that I can see. very thorough. What is left to be investigated? What are the questions you have in mind that he's looking into, and we can expect a report back from him, or you can at least.

MR. FLORIO: I apologize. I don't remember, sitting here today, what the issues were, but there were quite a number of further questions that were asked. And it wasn't in It was a meeting between myself and Governor Guardino that are the subcommittee and Mr. Strumwasser, where we, you know, conveyed the questions and concerns of the Board.

And he -- he, you know, in a number of instances said, yes, there was more work to be done there, and he proceeded to do that.

I'm expecting a final report within a couple of weeks, but I've said that before and it's turned out not to be true. So, I don't want to --

SENATOR MORROW: Well, Mr. Florio, I hope you can appreci ate. Your answer given right now, no offense, but I find it less than satisfying here.

I mean, I am concerned about the fact, again,

03

04 05

06 07

apparently you've had this report somewhere around June 3rd, **2003**.

Now, I remember the hearing, the open public hearing, when this issue came up. And I remember the ISO, and everybody affiliated with the ISO, basically the pitch to us as a Committee was, "Hey, thanks for bringing this to our attention. We're going to look into it. We're going to get to the bottom of this," and "we have a public duty," which I've heard reiterated here today.

10

15

16 17

22 23 24

25 26

27

28 0037

01

02 03

04

11 12

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

24

25

26

27 28

03

04

05 06

07 80

09

10 11

12 13

Although, I don't necessarily remember any commitment, and I could be wrong on this, but I don't have a present recollection of anybody saying, "You know, Select Committee, Senator Dunn, and all you, we'll give you a copy of the report. You're part of the process. You will get this."

Nevertheless, it was certainly implied to me that whatever your findings were, the results of your investigation were going to be made known to the Committee.

Maybe I presumed too much. If I did, I'd like for you to tell me that. And if I didn't presume too much, I'm a little disturbed that this Committee got this report in the fashion that it did.

MR. KAHN: I -- you know, first of all, we owed you answers to your questions. And we -- we think in the summary we provided you, we've given you an installment of

I don't know how you got this report. If you could tell us how you got the report, we would be happy. SENATOR MORROW: One thing you do know.

MR. KAHN: Right, you didn't get it from us because it was in our subcommittee with Mr. Florio and Mr. Guardino and Mr. Strumwasser. It was -- they were working And they told the remainder of the other Governors that, and Professor -- excuse me, Justice Grodin, that we were going

to get a further work product.

And we're here today based on new circumstances.

SENATOR MORROW: And forgive me, and I hope you appreciate that having served on the Select Committee, the investigation that we've had for two years now, and I think we've encountered the best. The oil companies -- that's another fight -- the energy companies, government bureaucracies, I mean, you name them all, ISO, utilities, and everybody playing Hide the Ball, and we have to pull teeth.

The question that is inescapable in my mind --

and I'll leave it up to the Chair whether or not he wants to disclose how it was received. All you need to know is, it certainly wasn't disclosed officially by the ISO Board, the people who are the recipients of this report -- that had we not received it, would we be here at all? When, if ever, would we have this information that's in this report?

MR. KAHN: Well, the answer to that is, actually,

you have the information that was in the report. That's -- we gave you a summary on Friday. As of this Friday, we still -we, Mr. Florio and I -- didn't know you had the report.

And we've been spending -- you know, the summary which we prepared, which is, I don't know, some 14 pages long,

0038 wasn't prepared in one day. It took a number of months of 01 02 people to put it together.

SENATOR MORROW: I appreciate that.

MR. KAHN: I'm sorry. But Senator, in answer to your question, what we decided to do when we got this report was two things.

One, the subcommittee work with Mr. Strumwasser to move to a final report.

Two, we recognized that it was not going to be satisfactory to say to you, the Senate, we want you to wait forever. Regardless of how long it takes, we want you to wait. We recognized you wanted answers to your questions. And so, we entered into two processes simultaneously, and both of which were -- Mr. Florio was responsible for.

09-03-03. TXT 15 One was the process of finishing the report. was the process of producing a summary.

And as Senator Dunn has so well shown, this isn't 16 17 exactly the friendliest summary to the ISO. This admits a lot of problems and sets them forth, and fairly candidly expresses 18 19 the findings of Mr. Strumwasser. 20 So, in answer to your specific question, Senator, we -- whether you had the report or not, we could still be here 21 22 23 based on the fact that we provided you with the information. 24 And finally --25 SENATOR MORROW: Are you saying you didn't know 26 that we had this report until last Friday? 27 MR. KAHN: I had no knowledge that you had this 28 report for sure. I had rumors that you might have it or not, 0039 but I cannot act on rumors, and I did not have any 01 02 confirmation. 03 And if you read Senator Dunn's letter, it does 04 not say you have the report. SENATOR DUNN: 05 Let me, if I can, clarify the record, Bill, so you know.
I first contacted, actually, Barry Goode about 06 07 80 the fact that I had received the report. That was probably a 09 month ago, about a month ago. Mr. Goode indicated to me he had no knowledge 10 about the report, and indicated that the ISO, correctly, is a 11 separate entity. 12 13 But he said that he would contact the ISO Board, which I presume was Michael Kahn. 14 15 He reported back to me about a week later that he 16 spoke with the ISO Board, requested a report, but due to confidentiality associated with the report, his request was 17 18 decl i ned. 19 SENATOR MORROW: And when was that? 20 SENATOR DUNN: About a month ago. So at that point in time, Mr. Goode asked if I would provide him a copy of the report, and I chose not to for probably the same reasons of whomever --21 22 23 24 SENATOR MORROW: So, from what I think I'm hearing, Senator Dunn, is that the ISO Board was aware of our requesting this report a month ago. 25 26 SENATOR DUNN: Well, actually when Mr. Strumwasser started his report, at least my recollection is, 27 28 0040 01 there was always an agreement that when completed, the Select Committee would be given a copy of Mr. Strumwasser's Report. 02 03 That was way back. SENATOR MORROW: 04 That was my understanding as 05 well. But I'm also hearing that, again, a request was 06 made a month ago, and but for all the things that have 07 transpired in the last month, here we are pulling teeth again, 80 09 and we have to call you into a hearing to discuss this report. And it's only on Friday, and I don't get it until today, that we 10 11 have a summary. I don't know where to go with this, other than to 12 express frustration. I've seen it time and again. And again, 13 we're pulling teeth for more information. 14 It's again very indicative of the very criticisms 15 16 that are in this report about how the ISO views other agencies, 17 including the Legislature. 18 SENATOR DUNN: If I can just add one thing, Bill, so that we're complete on the picture. 19

My request was actually to have the individuals

who had testified about the fictitious load come before the Committee.

The request wasn't for Mr. Kahn or Mr. Florio. They volunteered to come since those individuals now have retained their own outside legal counsel, who sent letters this morning saying their respective clients, the individuals who testified on the fictitious load hearing, would not be present because that legal counsel had just been hired and needed to be

educated on the issues before they would present their clients for further testimony.

And as a substitute, both Mr. Kahn and Mr.

Florio. 04

22

23 24

25

27 28

0041 01

02

03

05

06

07

80 09

10

11 12

13

14

15 16 17

18 19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28 0042

01

02

03

04 05

06

07

08 09

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19 20

21 22

bi rdy.

SENATOR MORROW: I understand. I appreciate that, Senator.

Again, the question in my mind, but for all of those things that have transpired, when would we have received this report?

I know when we received, at least officially, the summary of this report, but I just can't help but posing that questi on.

MR. DRIVON: What I would like to know is whether, when the next version of Mr. Strumwasser's Report is ready, can we expect that you will immediately forward a copy of it to us, or do we go back to the little birdy approach?

MR. KAHN: I don't know what the little birdy

approach is, but let me --

MR. DRIVON: That's how we got this one, a little

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  KAHN: But let me tell you the answer is that the counsel for one of the -- counsel for one of the people named has requested that we not release portions of the report which he believes are attorney-client privilege, and he believes that the information provided to Mr. Strumwasser was given to him in a privileged context, and therefore, it's not appropriate to have that privilege breached.

And he also takes the position that he hasn't

read the report yet, so he doesn't know whether he's right or not, but he takes the position that there would or could have been things said to Mr. Strumwasser that, if they were quoted, and then put in the report, were a breach of the representation of confidentiality.

I have no idea if this is true. But I can tell you this. We didn't -- we did not -- and again, Senator Morrow, I apologize for the frustration, but I have to tell you, we did not tell people it was voluntary to talk to Mr. Strumwasser. told them that they had to talk to Mr. Strumwasser, and that they had to cooperate.

And now there is a report that says things about them that they're unhappy about. And some of them have raised the question of the circumstances of the information.

I'm going to hire a lawyer. That's out of the expertise of Justice Grodin. I'm going to have to hire a lawyer and figure out what to do.

You know, it's nothing to do with birdies, or predelictions, or whatever. It has to do with if Mr. Strumwasser said to somebody, "This is a privileged conversation. I promise I won't reveal it to anybody. me -- " well, a little bit like Connie Chung said to Newt

Gingrich's mother, "Off the record, what do you think?"

If those people answered, believing that they were not going to be quoted in a report, I don't know what that

means. That may mean nevertheless you get it.

```
27
                       And Senator Dunn, the one thing I know from
 28
     experience, you'll ask us to waive the privilege. And it may
0043
 01
      not seem like it, but every time you ask us to waive the
      privilege, we spend a whole lot of time trying to sort through
 02
      it, and trying to respond to it, and trying to figure out a way
 03
 04
      that we can deal with it.
                       So, I apologize if it sounds like mumbo-jumbo,
 05
      but I just told you the truth. And that's where we are.

MR. DRIVON: It's actually covered on Page 3 of
 06
 07
      Mr. Strumwasser's Report:
 80
 09
                               "In the course of this
 10
                               investigation, we interviewed
 11
                               critics of ISO to illuminate the
 12
                               charges, percipient witnesses to
 13
                               test those charges, and ISO
                               management to learn their
 14
 15
                               perspective on the charges and on
 16
                               the broader issues charges raise.
 17
                               Each interview was tape-recorded.
 18
                               Each interviewee was advised
                               that, if he or she preferred, we would pause or stop the recording
 19
 20
 21
                               and would respect any request
 22
                               that we maintain the
                               confidentiality of information provided us off the record. No
 23
 24
 25
                               such statements are quoted or
 26
                               attributed in this report.
 27
                        MR. KAHN:
                                   Right. That's what Mr. Strumwasser
 28
              I hope it's true.
      says.
0044
 01
                       But I'm not -- I can't take Mr. Strumwasser's
 02
      word for it.
                      I have to give somebody the benefit of the doubt
     if they don't agree with that.

You know, if everybody agrees with what

Mr. Strumwasser said, then I'll call back that lawyer and say,
 03
 04
 05
     who hasn't even read this, "Hey, you don't have a beef."

But I have to be fair. I have to let the people
 06
 07
      who talked to him have the opportunity to say what they want to
 80
     say. And I can't just trample their rights. I have to do it one step at a time. I'm sorry.

MR. DRIVON: In the tape recordings, I'm sure,
 09
 10
 11
      with a lawyer that's as careful and experienced as
 12
      Mr. Strumwasser, I'm sure that the tape recordings would reflect
 13
 14
      admonition to the witness exactly as he sets it forth.
 15
      perhaps you can just listen to one of those tape recordings or
 16
      several.
 17
                        MR. KAHN:
                                    I think that's a good idea. I hope
      it's not more than several.
 18
                        SENATOR MORROW:
 19
                                            Just one more question.
                        You've had two months now, or three months, to
 21
      grapple with these issues that we're talking about. And
 22
      apparently you haven't come to a conclusion yet in the course of
 23
      the day.
                       How long is it going to take?
MR. KAHN: Well, that's not --
SENATOR MORROW: Is that an unfair question?
 24
 25
 26
 27
                       MR. KAHN: No, that's not an unfair question, but
 28
     respectfully, it's not quite an accurate statement.
0045
     When we got the report, we read it. And we hired counsel. And we looked at it really, really carefully to
 01
 02
```

determine whether there was wrong-doing or conduct involved here

that we had to change immediately.

05

06 07

80

09 10

11 12

13

14

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24 25

26

27

28

0046 01

02

03

04

05

06

07

80

09

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

27

28

0047

01 02

03 04

05

06

07 80

For example, I mean, to get back to the original premise of the question, were we engaging in improper activity that was harmful to the citizens of California?

And we read the report, I did. Maybe I misso it, but I didn't see anything that said, "You'd better stop interfering with processes," and the like. Maybe I missed

So, the first thing we did was to try to see if

we had an immediate obligation to do something.

Next thing we did was to try to decide how we were going to discharge our obligations. And we -- I know it didn't make you happy, I apologize, but we commissioned a summary to respond to your questions. We commissioned Justice Grodin to figure out what to do with the report. And we started down the process of dealing with the personnel issues and the practices issues.

And we took some other actions which are sensitive, but basically we changed some people from doing things that they were doing because it was -- there was an allegation that they were behaving improperly. We took some actions which are -- I'd need some privilege to be able to share with you. But it's not huge. You can figure it out, what we di d.

So, we didn't just do anything. We took a lot of And we're in the process of taking a lot of steps. steps.

That's where we are.

SENATOR DUNN: No questions, but we need to steal that copy back. We're maintaining quite a tight lid on all of these.

> MR. KAHN: Yeah, there you go. MR. FLORIO: Could I add one thing?

Maybe the time has passed, but we were talking about the issue of culture, and not being responsive to the Committee.

I just wanted for the record to point out that this is not something that this Board has ignored. Back earlier this year, before there was any kind of report from Strumwasser, after one of the hearings of the Select Committee, I heard from some folks who had attended that, you know, the ISO had not put on a good performance that day.

I relayed those concerns to Chairman Kahn, and he immediately followed up with the individuals involved, raised the concerns with management, and laid down the law at the next Board meeting about what we expected in terms of cooperation with the Legislature.

And I do think we've seen improvement in the relationship since those events took place. And I believe there was some cooperative work around the locational marginal pricing issue, and concerns that the Legislature expressed.

And I think we are making some progress in breaking down some of those attitudes that are ingrained. certainly, we're not just sitting on our thumbs. We are trying to address this and achieve the kind of relationship that we

should have had all along with this Legislature.
CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: I think it's important to state that while there are concerns raised about the various people who have been interviewed here, and about attitude and hostility, and so forth, that there are many people who work at the ISO who don't have that problem.

I want to be careful that we don't simply take a bucket of paint and throw it on everybody who works at the ISO, because this report is specific to certain people.

Certainly, I think all of us in our dealings with 11 ISO have had good experiences as well as ones that we wish we hadn't had. 12 13 So, I think we need to be careful and be 14

specific, but we also need to both deal with the institutional issues and then figure out how we are going to deal with those places where there remains a problem.

So, we don't have a clearance as to when we're going to get a final report; is that correct? I'm left not knowing when we might receive -MR. KAHN: I think that's fair. We don't have

We don't have a We don't know what we're going to do next. cl ue.

We thought we were going to wait until Strumwasser and Guardino and Florio had finished and given us something, and we aborted that. Instead, their process is still going on, but instead I, with the consultation of my Board, immediately provided -- took steps to provide -- I don't think they actually finished yet -- each of the people copies of the report, frankly, to facilitate your process. So, that has gone

on.

10

15 16

17

18 19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26 27

0048 01

> 02 03 04

05

07

80

09 10

11

12

13

14

15 16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26

27

28 0049 01

02

04

10

11

12

13 14 Now, what that's going to mean to me now, it's going to take a life of its own. I'm now going to start hearing from lawyers about what I can and can't do. And my next, very next step is to hire myself a lawyer.

CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: Next time you volunteer --MR. KAHN: Let the record reflect, I never

I was ordered. volunteered for this.

CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: Next time you are asked to serve the public, I think we've all spent plenty of time doing things that we'd rather not be doing during the course of events that have transpired since AB 1890.

MR. DRIVON: One more thing.

CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: One more thing, and I do mean one more thing, because you've had four more "one more things.' If you were four years old, I would have cut you off already.

MR. DRIVON: I'm a lawyer.

And I mean this sincerely, Mr. Kahn, Mr. Florio, I don't see this as an adversary situation. I really don't.

If there's anything that I or our staff can do to help at any level in what you have to do, because it's a hard job you have to do, you know, we're available, and at whatever level you want that to be.

MR. KAHN: I appreciate that.

Senator Dunn and Senator Bowen know that on the overarching issue of governance, culture, fixing the direction, and the like, I firmly believe that the only way we're going to succeed is a partnership between the legislative branches and

the executive branch with those of us who are in your service trying to facilitate that. So, I agree completely with you.

The locational And I also agree with Mr. Florio. marginal pricing situation, and whether we had a cost benefit analysis, and all those other things, that result was entirely because you laid down a marker. You said what you wanted, and I insisted that we treat that with the greatest amount of deference and respect. I wasn't willing to go forward until we had worked in a partnership with you, and we did. And I wasn't willing to take somebody's word for it. I wanted to see it in writing that you folks were satisfied, or we weren't going to do

 $$\operatorname{And}$$  so, I appreciate your offer. We're going to take you up on it, but we already have in part in the substantive area.

09-03-03. TXT CHAIRWOMAN BOWEN: All right. That concludes today's hearing. I want to thank everyone who has participated. We're adjourned, and you are excused.

[Thereupon this portion of the hearing of the Senate Committee on Energy, Utilities and Communications was terminated at approximately 5:45 P.M.] --00000--CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER I, EVELYN J. MIZAK, a Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing transcript of the hearing of the Senate Committee on Energy, Utilities and Communications was reported verbatim in shorthand by me, Evelyn J. Mizak, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting. I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said hearing, nor in any way interested in the outcome of said hearing. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this \_\_\_\_, 2003. day of \_ EVELYN J. MIZAK Shorthand Reporter